

Attorney's At Law

472 Tito Castro Ave., Marvesa Building Ste. 106, Ponce, PR 00716
Tel: (787) 848-0666 • Fax: (787) 841-1435
www.bufete-emmanuelli.com

June 11, 2019

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543

> Re: Unión de Trabajadores de la Industria Eléctrica y Riego, Inc. v. Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico, et al., No. 18-1521

Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico v. Aurelius Investment, LLC, et al., No. 18-1334

Aurelius Investment, LLC, et al. v. Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, No. 18-1475

Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of All Title III Debtors Other Than COFINA v. Aurelius Investment, LLC, et al., No. 18-1496

United States v. Aurelius Investment, LLC, et al., No. 18-1514

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel of record for the Unión de Trabajadores de la Industria Eléctrica y Riego ("UTIER") in the above-captioned cases.

If the Court intends to expedite consideration of these petitions, UTIER agrees that its petition (No. 18-1521) should also receive expedited consideration.

If the Court is to grant the certiorari petition of the Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico ("Oversight Board") (No. 18-1334), the United States (No. 18-1514) and Aurelius Investment, LLC, et al. ("Aurelius") (No. 18-1475), we respectfully submit that the Court should also grant UTIER's petition, as opposed to the Oversight Board's request to hold UTIER's petition.¹

UTIER will not oppose the expedition of Aurelius' certiorari petition (No. 18-1475) as it is challenging the First Circuit's remedial ruling as well as UTIER.

¹ As stated in the Letter of Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico submitted on June 10, 2019 (No. 18-1334).

Scott S. Harris June 11, 2019 Page 2

With respect to the certiorari petitions filed by the United States (No. 18-1514) and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (No. 18-1496), should this Court grant certiorari, UTIER reserves its right to defend the First Circuit's ruling (with the exception of the remedial ruling) at the merits stage.

UTIER also respectfully requests that the above-captioned cases be considered on the Court's June 20 conference, and that if granted, they be calendared for argument during the October sitting.

Always very grateful for your consideration on this matter.

Very truly yours,

Jessica E. Méndez-Colberg